



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,205	07/30/2001	Bruce Layne	560301-1420	8321

24504 7590 04/22/2003

THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW
STE 1750
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948

EXAMINER

SEMBER, THOMAS M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2875

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/917,205

Applicant(s)
Layne et al

Examiner
Thomas Sember

Art Unit
2875

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas Sember

(3) _____

(2) Glenn Brown

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 17, 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-26

Identification of prior art discussed:
Mouchi

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant's representative met with the examiner to discuss the first rejection filed on 03/12/03. Particularly, the applicant's representative discussed the Mouchi rejection and possible claim language that would distinguish over the the Mouchi reference. Upon submission of a proper amendment the application will be subject to a further update and/or search. The examiner also advised applicant's representative that the PTO-327 should have indicated that the action was a first office action and not a final office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


THOMAS M. SEMBER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required